

TIT/HEP-228

NUP-A-93-13

hep-th@xxx/9307116

July, 1993

Nonlinear Renormalization Group Equation for Matrix Models

SABURO HIGUCHI *

*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Oh-okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152, Japan*

CHIGAK ITOI †

*Department of Physics and Atomic Energy Research Institute,
College of Science and Technology, Nihon University,
Kanda Surugadai, Chiyoda, Tokyo 101, Japan*

SHINSUKE NISHIGAKI ‡ and NORISUKE SAKAI §

*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Oh-okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152, Japan*

Abstract

An exact renormalization group equation is derived for the free energy of matrix models. The renormalization group equation turns out to be nonlinear for matrix models, as opposed to linear for vector models. An algorithm for determining the critical coupling constant and the critical exponent is obtained. As concrete examples, one-matrix models with one and two coupling constants are analyzed and the exact values of the critical coupling constant and the associated critical exponent are found.

*e-mail: hig@phys.titech.ac.jp, JSPS fellow

†e-mail: itoi@phys.titech.ac.jp

‡e-mail: nsgk@phys.titech.ac.jp

§e-mail: nsakai@phys.titech.ac.jp

The matrix model provides the possibility for a nonperturbative treatment of two-dimensional quantum gravity [1]–[3]. Exact solutions of the matrix model have been obtained for two-dimensional quantum gravity coupled to minimal conformal matter with central charge $c \leq 1$. It is important to solve matrix model representations of two-dimensional quantum gravity coupled to conformal matter with central charge $c > 1$. They are interesting not only as statistical systems on a randomly triangulated surface, but also as string models in a target space of arbitrary dimensions. Although one can easily write down matrix model candidates for cases with $c > 1$ [4, 5], they are so far not solvable.

As an approximation scheme for obtaining critical coupling constants and critical exponents for such unsolved matrix models, Brézin and Zinn-Justin have proposed a renormalization group approach [6]. A similar approach has been advocated previously for the $1/N$ expansion in various other contexts [7]. Several groups have examined the consequences of such an approach [8], suggesting that the result for universal quantities (critical exponents) does not improve even if one evaluates beta functions perturbatively up to the first few orders. Therefore in order to make full use of such a scheme, we first need to confirm that the approximation method produces correct results for the exactly solved cases. The $O(N)$ vector model, describing a discretized one-dimensional quantum gravity, is known to share its double-scaling properties with the matrix model for a discretized two-dimensional quantum gravity [9]. Recently we have analyzed the vector model by means of the renormalization group approach and have clarified its validity and meaning [10]. As with the matrix models, a perturbative evaluation of critical exponents for the vector model does not improve up to a few orders. Instead of a perturbative approach, we have obtained an exact difference equation relating the free energy for neighbouring values of N , with the coupling constant shifts of order $1/N$ in infinitely many coupling constants. Our crucial observation was that there hold infinitely many identities which express the freedom to reparametrize the field space. Owing to these identities, we can re-express the flow in the infinite dimensional coupling constant space as an effective flow in a finite dimensional effective coupling constant space. Critical coupling constants and critical exponents arise as fixed points of the effective beta function, and eigenvalues of the derivative matrix of the beta function respectively. We can understand the reason why the perturbative evaluation of beta functions was inadequate at least in the vector model; we have to collect all contributions from higher induced couplings by using the reparametrization identities to obtain a beta function.

The purpose of this paper is to derive an exact renormalization group equation for matrix models and examine its validity. Using the eigenvalue representation, we find a difference equation relating the partition function $Z_{N+1}(g)$ to the partition function $Z_N(g + \delta g)$ with the coupling constant shifts δg_k of order $1/N$ in infinitely many coupling constants. We find that the induced interactions contain terms which are absent in usual matrix models, at least for $c \leq 1$. As with the vector model, we can derive infinitely many identities expressing the freedom to reparametrize the matrix variables. These identities enable us to reduce the renormalization group equation to an effective renormalization group equation with a finite number of coupling constants. We observe that the effective renormalization group equation is nonlinear in derivatives of the free energy with respect to coupling constants. In

spite of this nonlinearity, we can provide an algorithm to compute fixed points and critical exponents. To illustrate this technique, we explicitly obtain fixed points and critical exponents together with the operator content for the one-matrix model with one and two coupling constants. These agree completely with the exact result.

The partition function $Z_N(g)$ of the matrix model is defined by an integral over an $N \times N$ hermitian matrix Φ with a generic polynomial potential $V(\Phi)$

$$Z_N(g) = \int d^{N^2} \Phi \exp[-N \operatorname{tr} V(\Phi)], \quad V(\Phi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k}{k} \Phi^k. \quad (1)$$

The cubic interaction with a single coupling constant g corresponds to $V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^2 + \frac{g}{3}\Phi^3$. We can integrate over the angular variables to obtain an integral over the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}$ [1]

$$Z_N(g) = c_N \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\lambda_i \Delta_N^2(\{\lambda_j\}) \exp\left[-N \sum_{i=1}^N V(\lambda_i)\right], \quad (2)$$

where Δ_N denotes the Van der Monde determinant $\Delta_N(\{\lambda_j\}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$, and $c_N = \pi^{N(N-1)/2} / \prod_{p=1}^N p!$.

In order to relate Z_{N+1} to Z_N , we shall integrate the $(N+1)$ -th eigenvalue λ in Z_{N+1}

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{N+1}(g) &= \int d^{(N+1)^2} \Phi e^{-(N+1) \operatorname{tr} V(\Phi)} \\ &= c_{N+1} \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\lambda_i \Delta_N^2(\{\lambda_j\}) e^{-(N+1) \sum_{i=1}^N V(\lambda_i)} \int d\lambda \prod_{i=1}^N |\lambda - \lambda_i|^2 e^{-(N+1)V(\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{c_{N+1}}{c_N} \int d^{N^2} \Phi e^{-(N+1) \operatorname{tr} V(\Phi)} \int d\lambda e^{-(N+1)V(\lambda) + 2 \operatorname{tr} \log |\lambda - \Phi|}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The λ integral can be evaluated by a saddle point method as a power series in $1/N$ around the saddle point, since the effective potential $(N+1)V(\lambda) - 2 \operatorname{tr} \log |\lambda - \Phi|$ is of order $O(N^1)$. The saddle point equation

$$V'(\lambda^s) = \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{\lambda^s - \Phi} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{tr} \Phi^n}{(\lambda^s)^{n+1}} \quad (4)$$

determines the saddle point λ^s as a linear combination of not only $\operatorname{tr} \Phi^n$ but also non-linear terms like $\operatorname{tr} \Phi^n \operatorname{tr} \Phi^m$, which are not present in the original model. Therefore by inserting the saddle point λ^s into the partition function, we find that infinitely many operators of the form $\operatorname{tr} \Phi^m \operatorname{tr} \Phi^n, \dots$ are induced

$$\frac{Z_{N+1}(g)}{Z_N(g)} = \frac{c_{N+1}}{c_N} \left\langle \exp \left[-\operatorname{tr} V(\Phi) - NV(\lambda^s) + 2 \operatorname{tr} \log |\lambda^s - \Phi| + O(N^0) \right] \right\rangle \quad (5)$$

from the renormalization transformation with respect to N . Here the normalized average with respect to the measure $d^{N^2} \Phi \exp[-N \operatorname{tr} V(\Phi)]$ is denoted by $\langle \cdot \rangle$.

The situation, however, simplifies if we appeal to the large- N limit. In this limit a multi-point function of $U(N)$ -invariant operators $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}', \dots$ factorizes into a product of one-point functions

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}' \dots \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}' \rangle \dots + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \quad (6)$$

In view of this factorization property, eq.(5) can be written as

$$\frac{Z_{N+1}(g)}{Z_N(g)} = \frac{c_{N+1}}{c_N} \exp \left[-\langle \text{tr } V(\Phi) \rangle - NV(\tilde{\lambda}) + 2 \left\langle \text{tr } \log |\tilde{\lambda} - \Phi| \right\rangle + O(N^0) \right], \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a function of $\langle \text{tr } \Phi^m \rangle$ defined by averaging the saddle point equation eq.(4)

$$V'(\tilde{\lambda}) = 2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr } \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda} - \Phi} \right\rangle = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}^{n+1}} \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr } \Phi^n \right\rangle. \quad (8)$$

Let us introduce the free energy which is normalized to vanish for the Gaussian model

$$F(N, g) \equiv -\frac{1}{N^2} \log \left[\frac{Z_N(g)}{Z_N(g_1 = 0, g_2 = 1, g_k = 0 \ (k \geq 3))} \right], \quad (9)$$

where $Z_N(g_1 = 0, g_2 = 1, g_k = 0 \ (k \geq 3)) = 2^{N/2}(\pi/N)^{N^2/2}$. By taking the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, we find the following differential equation as a renormalization group equation for the free energy

$$\left(N \frac{\partial}{\partial N} + 2 \right) F(N, g) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr } V(\Phi) \right\rangle + V(\tilde{\lambda}) - 2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr } \log |\tilde{\lambda} - \Phi| \right\rangle - \frac{3}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right). \quad (10)$$

We observe that this equation describes a “flow” in an infinite dimensional coupling constant space which is enlarged to include interactions like $\text{tr } \Phi^m \text{tr } \Phi^n$. We emphasize that this renormalization group equation does not involve a perturbation with respect to the coupling constants, unlike the approximation schemes proposed in ref.[6].

We should be careful in identifying the renormalization group flow in the coupling constant space, since all the correlators of $\text{tr } \Phi^m$ appearing in the right hand side of eq.(10) are not independent. In fact, the partition function $Z_N(g)$ should be invariant under reparametrization of matrix variables regular at the origin, $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \varepsilon \Phi^{n+1}$ ($n \geq -1$). Then we can construct a tower of identities expressing this reparametrization freedom of matrix variables, as with the case of the vector model [10]. The reparametrization identities can be obtained from the usual procedure to derive the Schwinger-Dyson equation [11]

$$\int d^{N^2} \Phi \text{tr } \frac{d}{d\Phi} \left(\Phi^{n+1} \exp [-N \text{tr } V(\Phi)] \right) = 0, \quad (n \geq -1). \quad (11)$$

The reparametrization identities naturally form the Virasoro vacuum conditions on the partition function. After using the factorization property (6) in the large- N limit, they become

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr } \Phi^i \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr } \Phi^{n-i} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr } \left(\Phi^{n+1} V'(\Phi) \right) \right\rangle, \quad (n \geq -1). \quad (12)$$

Since the one-point function is nothing but the derivative of the free energy with respect to coupling constants, $\langle \text{tr } \Phi^k \rangle / N = k \partial F / \partial g_k$, the reparametrization identities provide infinitely many relations among derivatives with respect to different coupling constants.

In the case of the vector model, we have shown [10] that one can eliminate redundant one-point functions in the right hand side of eq.(10) except for the first few ones corresponding to the original potential, by applying the reparametrization identities recursively. To facilitate the procedure, it is convenient to define the expectation value of the resolvent $W(z) = \langle \text{tr}(1/(z - \Phi)) \rangle / N$, with respect to which the reparametrization identities (12) take the form [1, 3]

$$W(z)^2 - V'(z)W(z) + Q(z; V) = 0, \quad Q(z; V) \equiv \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{V^{(k+1)}(z)}{k!} \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}(\Phi - z)^{k-1} \right\rangle. \quad (13)$$

If we are to begin with the m -th order potential, $Q(z; V)$ is a polynomial in $\langle \text{tr} \Phi^k \rangle / N$ for $1 \leq k \leq m-2$. Let us choose a coupling constant subspace: $g_1 = 0, g_2 = 1, g_k = 0$ ($k \geq m+1$) with $g_3, \dots, g_m$ arbitrary. The first two equations of (12)

$$0 = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \Phi \right\rangle + \sum_{k=3}^m g_k \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \Phi^{k-1} \right\rangle, \quad 1 = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \Phi^2 \right\rangle + \sum_{k=3}^m g_k \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \Phi^k \right\rangle \quad (14)$$

enable us to express $\langle \text{tr} \Phi \rangle / N$ and $\langle \text{tr} \Phi^2 \rangle / N$ in terms of $\langle \text{tr} \Phi^k \rangle / N$ for $3 \leq k \leq m$. Therefore the reparametrization identities allow us to express all of the higher induced interactions in terms of $\langle \text{tr} \Phi^k \rangle / N = k \partial F / \partial g_k$ for $3 \leq k \leq m$, and in this sense the theory is renormalizable in the large- N limit.

To summarize, the complete set of nonlinear renormalization group equation for the one-matrix model consists of the following three equations. The reparametrization identities become the so-called loop equation

$$W(z)^2 - V'(z)W(z) + Q\left(z; g_3, \dots, g_m; \frac{\partial F}{\partial g_3}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial g_m}\right) = 0. \quad (15)$$

The reparametrization identities simplify the saddle point equation into

$$V'(\tilde{\lambda})^2 - 4Q\left(\tilde{\lambda}; g_3, \dots, g_m; \frac{\partial F}{\partial g_3}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial g_m}\right) = 0. \quad (16)$$

Finally the effective renormalization group equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(N \frac{\partial}{\partial N} + 2\right) F(g) &= G\left(g, \frac{\partial F}{\partial g}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \\ G\left(g, \frac{\partial F}{\partial g}\right) &\equiv -1 - \sum_{k=3}^m \frac{k-2}{2} g_k \frac{\partial F}{\partial g_k} + V(\tilde{\lambda}) - 2 \log \tilde{\lambda} - 2 \int_{\pm\infty}^{\tilde{\lambda}} dz \left(W(z) - \frac{1}{z}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The above equations show that the renormalization group equation for the matrix model is inevitably nonlinear with respect to $\partial F(g) / \partial g_k$. Nonlinearity emerges out of the renormalization group transformation (induced interactions of the form $\text{tr} \Phi^m \text{tr} \Phi^n \dots$) and from the procedure to eliminate redundant one-point functions.

Now we shall give an algorithm to determine fixed points and critical exponents as well as the free energy $F = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-2h} f_h(g)$. For simplicity let us consider the case of the single cubic coupling for the one-matrix model. We first restrict ourselves

to the sphere topology. The right hand side of the renormalization group equation has nonlinear terms ($g = g_3$)

$$G\left(g, \frac{\partial F}{\partial g}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(g) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial g}\right)^n. \quad (18)$$

If we expand the coefficients β_n in powers of the coupling constant around a fixed point g_* , they are regular

$$\beta_n(g) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{nk}(g - g_*)^k. \quad (19)$$

The non-analyticity of the free energy should stem from solving the differential equation (17). We assume that the free energy consists of singular and regular terms

$$F(N, g) = F^{reg}(N, g) + F^{sing}(N, g),$$

$$F^{reg} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-2h} f_h^{reg}(g), \quad f_0^{reg}(g) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (g - g_*)^k, \quad (20)$$

$$F^{sing} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-2h} f_h^{sing}(g), \quad f_0^{sing}(g) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k (g - g_*)^{k+\gamma}. \quad (21)$$

By definition, the coefficient $b_0 \neq 0$. By comparing the power series expansion of the renormalization group equation, we find consistency conditions for the above expansion to be valid. The most singular term $(g - g_*)^{\gamma-1}$ determines the fixed point

$$0 = b_0 \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n0} n a_1^{n-1}. \quad (22)$$

The next singular term $(g - g_*)^\gamma$ determines the critical exponent γ since $b_0 \neq 0$

$$2b_0 = b_0 \gamma \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n1} n a_1^{n-1} + 2a_2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta_{n0} n(n-1) a_1^{n-2} \right]. \quad (23)$$

They contain two coefficients a_1 and a_2 of the regular part. However, the consistency conditions for terms of order $(g - g_*)^1$ and $(g - g_*)^2$ determine a_1 and a_2 respectively

$$2a_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n1} a_1^n, \quad 2a_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n2} a_1^n + 2a_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n1} n a_1^{n-1} + 2a_2^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta_{n0} n(n-1) a_1^{n-2}. \quad (24)$$

In terms of G , eqs. (22)–(24) are equivalent to

$$0 = G_{,a_1}(g_*, a_1), \quad \frac{2}{\gamma} = G_{,ga_1}(g_*, a_1) + 2a_2 G_{,a_1 a_1}(g_*, a_1), \quad (25)$$

$$2a_1 = G_{,g}(g_*, a_1), \quad 2a_2 = \frac{1}{2} G_{,gg}(g_*, a_1) + 2a_2 G_{,ga_1}(g_*, a_1) + 2a_2^2 G_{,a_1 a_1}(g_*, a_1).$$

By solving these four equations, we can determine four quantities, namely the fixed point g_* , the coefficient a_1 , the critical exponent γ , and the coefficient a_2 . The susceptibility exponent γ_0 for the sphere topology is related to γ via $\gamma = 2 - \gamma_0$.

In the one coupling case at hand, $G(g, a_1)$ is given by

$$G(g, a_1) = -1 - \frac{g}{2}a_1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\lambda}^2 + \frac{g}{3}\tilde{\lambda}^3 - 2\log \tilde{\lambda} - \int_{\pm\infty}^{\tilde{\lambda}} dz \left[z + gz^2 - \sqrt{(z + gz^2)^2 - 4(1 + gz - g^2 + 3g^3a_1)} - \frac{2}{z} \right] \quad (26)$$

with $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}(g, a_1)$ being the zero of the square root. We have found that the set of equations (25) have three solutions; two of them are the nontrivial ultraviolet fixed point which agree with the exact result for the $m = 2$ critical points

$$g_* = \pm(432)^{-1/4}, \quad 2 - \gamma_0 = 5/2, \quad a_1 = \pm 3^{-1/4}2(1 - \sqrt{3}), \quad a_2 = -4(17\sqrt{3} + 30). \quad (27)$$

The other fixed point is located at the origin: $g_* = 0$ and $2 - \gamma_0 = -4$ which agrees with the naïve scaling as expected. At each fixed point, the other consistency conditions determine all the other coefficients a_k ($k \geq 0$) and b_k/b_0 ($k \geq 1$) recursively except for the overall normalization of the singular term b_0 . Although the equation for a_2 is quadratic, we choose the branch which continues to the unique solution at the origin (the Gaussian fixed point). In this way we can obtain the series expansion of the sphere free energy around the fixed point, up to one integration constant. This situation is completely analogous to the vector model case.

Up to now we have implicitly assumed that the exponent γ is an irrational number. In the case of the rational exponent as in eq.(27), the singular part is still well defined, but its higher power will contribute to terms of integer powers of $(g - g_*)$ [¶]. Therefore the equation to determine the regular part acquires a contribution from the singular part at higher orders and hence equations to determine the regular and singular parts mix each other. This mixing does not occur in eqs.(24) which determine the coefficients a_1 and a_2 at least for the solutions (27). Since susceptibility exponents for $c \leq 1$ are known to be $\gamma \geq 2$ for exact solutions, eqs.(24) are also valid in these $c \leq 1$ cases.

It is straightforward to generalize the algorithm to the case of many coupling constants. The expansion around the fixed point g_* is given in terms of $\Delta g_i \equiv g_i - g_{i*}$

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^{reg}(g) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{i_1 \dots i_n}(g_*) \Delta g_{i_1} \dots \Delta g_{i_n}, \\ f_0^{sing}(g) &= \sum_i \left(V_i^j \Delta g_j \right)^{\gamma(i)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^{i_1 \dots i_n}(g_*) \Delta g_{i_1} \dots \Delta g_{i_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where we have used a transformation matrix V_i^j which diagonalizes the exponent matrix. We obtain by expanding the renormalization group equation in powers of Δg

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial a^i} \quad , \quad \sum_k (V^{-1})_j^k \frac{2}{\gamma(k)} V_k^i = \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial g_i \partial a^j} + 2a^{ik} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial a^j \partial a^k}, \\ 2a^i &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial g_i} \quad , \quad 2a^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial g_i \partial g_j} + a^{ik} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial g_j \partial a^k} + a^{jk} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial g_i \partial a^k} + 2a^{ik} a^{j\ell} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial a^k \partial a^\ell}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

[¶] Since the distinction between the regular and the singular parts of the free energy becomes meaningless for integer γ , we assume that γ is not an integer.

where they come from terms of order $O\left((V_i^j \Delta g_j)^{\gamma(i)-1}\right)$, $O\left((V_i^k \Delta g_k)^{\gamma(i)-1}(V_j^k \Delta g_k)\right)$, $O(\Delta g_i)$ and $O(\Delta g_i \Delta g_j)$, respectively. These four form a closed set of equations to determine fixed points and critical exponents as in the one coupling case.

As an illuminating example, we analyze the case of two couplings (g_3 and g_4) for the one-matrix model. We find five solutions for the set of equations (29). Firstly, we find fixed points at $(g_{3*}, g_{4*}) = (\pm 0.3066 \dots, 0.02532 \dots)$. The free energy behaves in their neighbourhoods as

$$F^{sing}(N, g_3, g_4) \sim b \cdot [\Delta g_3 \pm (-4.472 \dots) \cdot \Delta g_4]^{7/3} + b' \cdot [\Delta g_3 \pm (-6.209 \dots) \cdot \Delta g_4]^{7/2}. \quad (30)$$

The positions of the critical points and the transformation matrices V_i^j in eq.(28) can be expressed as roots of algebraic equations, though we present them in numerical forms for brevity in eq.(30). We find two singular terms with the critical exponents $\gamma = 7/3$ and $7/2$ at this fixed point. They correspond to the gravitational dressing of operators with bare conformal dimension $\Delta_0 = -1/5$ and 0 , respectively [12]. This fixed point should describe the Lee-Yang edge singularity. The fixed point and the critical exponents agree with the exact result for the $m = 3$ critical point. We also have the $m = 2$ fixed points which we have just seen in the one coupling constant case eq.(27), $(g_{3*}, g_{4*}) = (\pm (432)^{-1/4}, 0)$. The critical behaviors around these points are

$$F^{sing}(N, g_3, g_4) \sim b \cdot \left[\Delta g_3 \pm \left(\frac{3^{1/4}}{4} - \frac{3^{7/4}}{2} \right) \Delta g_4 \right]^{5/2} + b' \cdot [\Delta g_4]^{-6}. \quad (31)$$

The first critical exponent $5/2$ corresponds to the gravitationally dressed cosmological term for the $m = 2$ critical point. We find no other positive exponent, in agreement with the fact that there is no primary field other than the identity in the matter conformal field theory before gravitational dressing. The last one is the trivial fixed point at $(g_{3*}, g_{4*}) = (0, 0)$ which gives the naïve scaling behavior

$$F^{sing}(N, g_3, g_4) \sim b \cdot [\Delta g_4]^{-2} + b' \cdot [\Delta g_3]^{-4}. \quad (32)$$

In the exact solution it is known that there are the $m = 3$ critical points and the $m = 2$ critical lines in the two dimensional coupling constant space. We observe that the critical behavior of the second term in eqs. (30)–(32) is realized at each fixed point when one approaches the fixed point along the $m = 2$ critical line. It is interesting that all of the above solutions that we find turn out to be on the $m = 2$ critical lines. However, let us note that not every point on the $m = 2$ critical lines is a fixed point, as with the case of the vector model.

If we compare our result with the exact one, another solution corresponding to the $m = 2$ critical point $(g_{3*}, g_{4*}) = (0, -1/12)$ is expected to be present. It is likely that such a solution exists, though we have not proved it due to the subtlety arising from the $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -invariance of the critical potential.

Next we turn to the scaling behavior of the higher genus contributions $f_h(g)$ to the free energy $F = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-2h} f_h(g)$. Again for brevity we consider the single coupling case. We can start from the difference equation and take into account the shift δg_k of higher orders in $1/N$. A differential equation with additional terms can be derived from the difference equation by retaining the higher order terms in

expanding in $1/N$. If we expand the free energy into $1/N$ series, we can separate the partial differential equation into a set of ordinary differential equations for each genus contribution. It is important to realize that the additional contributions introduced into the right hand side G of the renormalization group equation carry additional powers of $1/N$. Therefore they will not appear in the coefficient $\beta_n(g)$

$$\left(N \frac{\partial}{\partial N} + 2\right) F(N, g) = r(g, N) + \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-2h} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n(g) \sum_{\substack{h_1 + \dots + h_n = h, \\ h_j \geq 0}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{df_{h_j}}{dg}. \quad (33)$$

The inhomogeneous term $r(g, N)$ does get various higher order contributions including those terms from $f_{h'}$ for $h' \leq h - 1$. Therefore we obtain

$$2(1-h)f_h(g) = r_h(g) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n(g) \sum_{\substack{h_1 + \dots + h_n = h, \\ h_j \geq 0}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{df_{h_j}}{dg}, \quad (34)$$

where $r_h(g)$ is defined by $r(g, N) = \sum N^{-2h} r_h(g)$. Only the inhomogeneous term depends on genus, whereas the beta functions $\beta_n(g)$ for $n \geq 1$ are universal for any genus. Once we realize this structure of the renormalization group equation, we can repeat the same argument as in the case of the sphere to obtain the scaling behavior of the higher genus contributions. We find the fixed point condition is the same as eq.(22) except that the coefficient b_0 is now replaced by b_0^h of the singular term of the genus h free energy. The critical exponent condition becomes

$$2(1-h)b_0^h = b_0^h \gamma^h \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n1} n a_1^{n-1} + 2a_2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta_{n0} n(n-1) a_1^{n-2} \right]. \quad (35)$$

We find that the coefficients a_1 and a_2 of the regular term are needed to fix these equations. However, it is notable that they are precisely those regular terms for the *sphere* free energy. Therefore the same conditions as the sphere case (24) are sufficient to determine them. In this way we find that the fixed point is universal for any genus and the critical exponent γ^h for genus h is given by

$$\gamma^h = (1-h)\gamma_1, \quad \gamma_1 + \gamma_0 = 2. \quad (36)$$

This result explains the double scaling behavior for the singular part of the free energy

$$\begin{aligned} F^{sing}(N, g) &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-2h} f_h^{sing}(g) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-2h} (g - g_*)^{2-\gamma_0-\gamma_1 h} b_0^h \\ &= (g - g_*)^{2-\gamma_0} f^{sing}(N^{2/\gamma_1}(g - g_*)). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

So far we have employed the eigenvalue representation of the matrix integral and have attempted to integrate the $(N+1)$ -th eigenvalue. We can, however, also use the coset technique proposed in ref.[6] where one integrates over the $(N+1)$ -th row and column vector $\Phi_{N+1 j} = \Phi_j^\dagger_{N+1}$, ($1 \leq j \leq N$) and the singlet $\Phi_{N+1 N+1} \equiv \alpha$ retaining only the $N \times N$ matrix Φ_{ij} , ($1 \leq i, j \leq N$). We can combine their

technique with the reparametrization identities. Therefore we obtain a nonlinear renormalization group equation in the case of a single coupling constant

$$\left(N\frac{\partial}{\partial N} + 2\right) F(N, g) = \tilde{G}\left(g, \frac{\partial F}{\partial g}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad (38)$$

$$\tilde{G}\left(g, \frac{\partial F}{\partial g}\right) \equiv -\frac{g}{2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial g} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{2} + \frac{g\tilde{\alpha}^3}{3} + \log(1 + g\tilde{\alpha}) + \int_{\mp\infty}^{-\frac{1+g\tilde{\alpha}}{g}} dz \left(W(z) - \frac{1}{z}\right), \quad (39)$$

where the saddle point $\tilde{\alpha}$ of $\alpha \equiv \Phi_{N+1} N_{+1}$ is determined using the expectation value of the resolvent

$$\tilde{\alpha} + g\tilde{\alpha}^2 - W\left(-\frac{1 + g\tilde{\alpha}}{g}\right) = 0. \quad (40)$$

By using the same power series expansion method, we have computed the fixed point and the critical exponents from these equations too. We get the same result as with the eigenvalue method. Therefore we believe their method is equivalent to ours in the eigenvalue representation.

To obtain the expression (39), we have evaluated the integration over $\Phi_{N+1} N_{+1} \equiv \alpha$ by the saddle point method. Let us note that it is essential to perform the integration over α to reproduce the exact results. It is not correct to ignore the degree of freedom corresponding to α by the large- N assumption.

The disadvantage of the coset technique compared to ours is that one has to introduce auxiliary fields when interactions like $(\Phi_{N+1\ i} \Phi_{i\ N+1})^2$ are present as in the case of quartic or higher degree potentials. On the other hand, our eigenvalue representation allows all the potentials to be treated on the same footing. Nevertheless, the coset technique does seem to have wider applicability, especially if we try to consider the cases unsolved so far. Therefore we would like to consider this method as a possible convenient technique for examining matrix models such as those corresponding to $c > 1$.

From our study we have now learned that the linearized approximation neglecting the nonlinear terms in our renormalization group equation provides a result not too far from the exact result, at least in the cases which we have studied. Therefore we hope to employ the linearized approximation to study models admitting no exact solution. This is equivalent to ignoring higher order terms with respect to the coupling constant g in the right hand side $\tilde{G}$ of the renormalization group equations. We stress again that this approximation should be done only after reparametrization identities are taken into account. We have already computed a number of such cases.

The nonlinearity of the renormalization group equations also makes it difficult to draw the renormalization group flow or to characterize the $m = 2$ critical line as a trajectory of the flow. However, once we make a linearized approximation, it is easy to know the flow vector field in the coupling constant space. Therefore we can use the linearized renormalization group equation to visualize the renormalization group flow approximately or qualitatively. This approximation should be good near the origin. We are currently trying to find a scheme for a more precise study of the renormalization group flow.

It is straightforward to write down the renormalization group equation and the saddle point equation for any type of matrix model. It would be nice to reduce the general multi-matrix reparametrization identities (loop equations) to the more tractable form of a single matrix case. We have already observed that our method can be extended in a straightforward way to deal with the two-matrix model, which is known to describe any minimal matter coupled to two-dimensional gravity. We can also find operator content at any fixed points in our approach, as in eqs. (30) – (32) of the one-matrix case. We hope to report the results in subsequent publications.

Note added: After we finish writing up this paper, we noticed that a preprint [13] has appeared. In the paper, he analyzed the one-matrix model with the cubic potential using the coset technique. He used the first several reparametrization identities, but has not been able to take account of contributions from all higher induced interactions which are of the same order. He has not included the α -integral.

The authors thank P. Crehan for a careful reading of the manuscript. One of the authors (S.H.) thanks J. Zinn-Justin, I. K. Kostov, E. Brézin, and P. Di Vecchia, for discussion and hospitality during his visit to Saclay, Ecole Normale, and Nordita. Another one (C.I.) thanks M. Siino for helping him use Mathematica at the early stage of this work. This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S.H.) and (No.05640334)(N.S.), and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research for Priority Areas (No.05230019)(N.S.) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

References

- [1] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.-B. Zuber, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **59** (1978) 59; D. Bessis, C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **1** (1980) 109; V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and A.A. Migdal, *Phys. Lett.* **157B** (1985) 295; F. David, *Nucl. Phys.* **B257** (1985) 45; V.A. Kazakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4** (1989) 2125.
- [2] D.J. Gross and A.A. Migdal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 127; 717, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990), 333; E. Brézin and V.A. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* **236B** (1990) 144; M. Douglas and S. Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B335** (1990) 635.
- [3] For a review of matrix models, see P. Ginsparg and G. Moore, Yale and Los Alamos preprint YCTP-P23-92, LA-UR-92-3479 (1993).
- [4] V. A. Kazakov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B[PS]4** (1988) 93; S. R. Das, A. Dhar, A. M. Sengupta and S. R. Wadia, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** (1990) 1041; L. Alvarez-Gaumé, J.L.F. Barbón and Č. Crnković, *Nucl. Phys.* **B394** (1993) 383; J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus, T. Jónsson and G. Thorleifsson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B398** (1993) 568.
- [5] E. Brézin and S. Hikami, *Phys. Lett.* **283B** (1992) 203; *Phys. Lett.* **295B** (1992) 209; M. Wexler, Princeton preprint PUPT-1384 (1993).
- [6] E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, *Phys. Lett.* **288B** (1992) 54.

- [7] J. Carlson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B248** (1984) 536; T. Kubota and Y.X. Cheng, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 2289; R. Brustein and S. P. de Alwis, University of Colorado preprint COLO-HEP-253 (1991).
- [8] J. Alfaro and P. Damgaard, *Phys. Lett.* **289B** (1992) 342; V. Periwal, *Phys. Lett.* **294B** (1992) 49; H. Gao, Trieste preprint IC 302-92 (1992); C. Ayala, *Phys. Lett.* **311B** (1993) 55.
- [9] S. Nishigaki and T. Yoneya, *Nucl. Phys.* **B348** (1991) 787, *Phys. Lett.* **268B** (1991) 35; P. Di Vecchia, M. Kato and N. Ohta, *Nucl. Phys.* **B357** (1991) 495; A. Anderson, R. Myers and V. Periwal, *Phys. Lett.* **254B** (1991) 89, *Nucl. Phys.* **B360** (1992) 463.
- [10] S. Higuchi, C. Itoi and N. Sakai, *Phys. Lett.* **312B** (1993) 88; Tokyo Institute of Technology preprint TIT/HEP-219, to appear in *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **114** (1993).
- [11] S. R. Wadia, *Phys. Rev.* **D24** (1981) 970; F. David, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** (1990) 1019; M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **6A** (1991) 1385; R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys.* **B348** (1991) 435; H. Itoyama and Y. Matsuo, *Phys. Lett.* **262B** (1991) 233; L. Alvarez-Gaumé, C. Gomez and J. Lacki, *Phys. Lett.* **253B** (1991) 56; A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Phys. Lett.* **252B** (1990) 47.
- [12] V. G. Knizhnik, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 819; F. David, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 1651; J. Distler and H. Kawai, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989) 509.
- [13] Y. Itoh, Saitama University preprint STUPP-93-135 (1993).